

27 MAY 2023

FAO: SIAN EVANS

THE BOSTON ALTERNATIVE ENERGY FACILITY PROJECT CASE TEAM

FROM: VERONICA PATEY. [REDACTED]

"INTERESTED REGISTERED PARTY" IAO BAEF. NUMBER: - [REDACTED] AND
REFERENCE NUMBER: - EN010095

• Sorry to bother you but I wish to respond to a letter issued by:-
DAVID WAISTAFF, OBE, DEPUTY DIRECTOR ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING
DEPARTMENT FOR ENERGY SECURITY & NET ZERO. 1 VICTORIA ST. LONDON
SW1H 0ET.

• The letter - dated 25 MAY 2023 - invites comments from 'Interested Parties' on the representations received. With a response deadline date of 23.59 on 8 June 2023. Responses to be submitted by email only.

• As I have no computer access, would it be possible for your department to scan my reply and send it by email as requested to:-

BostonAlternativeEnergyFacility@planning.inspectorate.gov.uk by 23.59 on 8 June 2023.

Apologies for giving you so little time to do this for me.

And thank you for your time, attention and help in this matter.

Sincere Regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
REFERENCE No:- EN010095.

Enc. letter in response to the Secretary of States' correspondence of 25 May 2023.

27 May 2023. Reply posted to BAEF Project Case Team Bristol, requesting them to scan it and email it on to designated email address.

Dear Secretary of state, for Energy Security and Net Zero.

Thank you for your letter of 25 May 2023 regarding the Boston Alternative Energy Facility proposal; in which you invite comments from "interested parties" on the representations received. I remain concerned on the following issues, as the 'Applicants' responses/ measures remain inadequate.

- Climate change / flooding / Coastal Erosion issues.

It is possible that within the next 50 years a large portion of the Lincolnshire Coastline - including Boston - will be under water. The proposed facility has an expected lifespan exceeding this, will it be safe to function under such conditions? which may increase the risk of seepage of waste pollutants entering the Haven Estuary.

- Human Health risks from the sites' stacks emitting fine particulates and dioxins (PM10 + PM2.5). These gases are emitted in a mixture of others, separating them out to make accurate measurement is not yet possible. Food production is also at risk here.

- Increased shipping coming into the wash will disturb the feeding habitat of the North Norfolk Harbour Seal colony in the Wash.

- Habitats on the Havens' mudflats and Saltmarshes are still at risk and the compensatory roosting and feeding sites all seem to have outstanding issues, such as the acreage and suitability for different birds, or as with the Wyberton Road (south) site a possible conflict of use with the "Outer Dowsing Offshore wind farm" and their proposed Cable corridor. No contact made with the Landowners as yet.

I note your comments re Compensation sites at paragraph 4 of your letter

- Air Quality monitoring sites yet to be confirmed and agreed with Natural England and the Environment Agency. I agree that 18 months measuring of pollutants such as NO₂ (Nitrogen dioxide) and NH₃ (Ammonia) on the Saltmarshes / mudflats should be sufficient to provide a baseline against which to assess the potential impact of emissions from BAEF.

I note your comments re Air Quality impacts at paragraph 3 of your letter.

Yours faithfully,